Rangeland Health Evaluation Summary Worksheet

NM-RFO-RHA-2012-0004

Part 1. Area of Interest Documentation

Observation Date:	8/20/2012	Fiscal Year:	2012	RHA Type: Grazing Unit 63073, Zuefeldt Arroyo			
State: New Mexico	Field Office	e: Roswell Fie	eld Office	Legal Location: T8S, R19E, Sec. 18 SESW			
Watershed Name:				Major Land Resource Area: CP-3			
Geographic Work Area:			Ecological Range Site: Limestone Hills				
Pasture Name: West			Study Name and #: Idsu-125				
Observer(s): Emily Peterson			Size of Evaluation Area: Photos Taken				

	Soil/Site Ve	erification ————————————————————————————————————
Map Unit Name: 14, D	Deama-Rock outcrop association, very st	teep
Parent Material:	alluvium and residuum weathered fro	m limestone
Soils Series:	Deama	List Diagnostic horizons in profile and depth
Surface Texture:	very cobbly loam	 A11 - 0 - 2 in, dark grayish brown cobbly loam, 30% cobblestones, stones and gravel, moderately calcareous
Depth:	Very Shallow, <10"	 A12ca - 2 - 8 in, dark grayish brown cobbly light clay loam, 35% cobblestones, pebbles, and stones coated with lime on all
Topographic Position: uplands		surfaces, moderately calcareous
Avg. Annual Precip:	12.75 Aspect: Drought Elevation:	 Cca - 8 - 15 in, dark brown stony light clay loam, 45% cobblestones, pebbles, and stones coated with lime on all surfaces, strongly calcareous
necelli weather:	Elevation:	• R - 15 - in, fractured limestone

Soils Series Description:

The Deama series consists of very shallow and shallow, well drained soils. These soils formed in cobbly residual materials on limestone hills. Permeability is moderate, and available water capacity is 1.5 to 2 inches.

Docent Mosther Additional Notes:

RECENT WEATHER AUDITIONAL NOTES:

No additional Comments

Wildlife and Livestock Description:

No additional Comments

Off Site Influence Description:

No additional Comments

Additional Notes:

No additional Comments

Rangeland Health Evaluation Number: NM-RFO-RHA-2012-0004

Part 2. I	ndicator Rating					
		Departure from Ecological Site Description/ Ecological Reference Area(s)				
Attribute	Indicators	Extreme	Moderate to Extreme	Moderate	Slight to Moderate	None to Slight
S,H	1. Rills					✓
Comments:						
S,H	2. Water Flow Patterns					✓
Comments:						
S,H	3. Pedestals and/or Terracettes					✓
Comments:						
S,H	4. Bare Ground					✓
Comments:	percentage of bare ground was less than 25%					
S,H	5. Gullies					✓
Comments:						
S	6. Wind-Scoured, Blowouts, and/or Deposition Area					✓
Comments:						
Н	7. Litter Movement					✓
Comments:	litter movement was none to slight					
S,H,B	8. Soil Surface Resistance to Erosion					✓
Comments:	as expecdted for soils with low water holding capacity					
S,H,B	9. Soils Surface Loss or Degradation					✓
Comments:						
Н	10. Plant Community Composition and Distributio					✓
Comments:						
S,H,B	11. Compaction Layer					✓
Comments:	plant cover was adequate for site protection					
В	12. Functional/Structural Groups					✓
Comments:						
В	13. Plant Mortality/Decadence					✓
Comments:	less than 20% plant mortality for the site					

Н,В	14. Litter Amount			✓
Comments:	within the expected 6-10%			
В	15. Annual Production			✓
Comments:	there were many plants with inflorescence			
В	16. Invasive Plants			✓
Comments:				
В	17. Reproductive Capability of Perennial Plants			✓
Comments:				

		Depa	Departure from Ecological Site Description/ Ecological Reference Area(s)				
Attribute	Indicators	Extreme	Moderate to Extreme	Moderate	Slight to Moderate	None to Slight	
В	18. Wildlife Habitat					✓	
Comments:	mule deer, road runner						
В	19. Wildlife Populations					✓	
Comments:							
В	20. Special Status Species Habitat					•	
Comments:							
В	21. Special Status Species Populations					✓	
Comments:							

Part 3. Summary

A. Indicator Summary-Each of the indicators are associated with the one or more of the attributes below. An indicator is placed in a category (columns) above and summed for each of the Standard Attributes.

Standard Attributes		Extreme	Moderate to Extreme	Moderate	Slight to Moderate	None to Slight	Total Attributes
S	Soil/Site Stability (Indicators 1-6, 8, 9 11)	0	0	0	0	9	9
Н	Hydrologic Function (Indicators 1-5, 7-11 14)	0	0	0	0	11	11
В	Biotic Integrity (Indicators 8-9, 11-21)	0	0	0	0	13	13

Part 3. Summary

B. Attribute Summary - In this table, the Extreme and Moderate to Extreme columns in the table above are merged to form the "Does Not Meet" column, Moderate becomes "May Need More Info". Slight to Moderate and None to Slight merge to form the "Meets" columns. Values from the table above are summarized below. Space is provided for rationale of the determination. This space should most certainly be used when the determination by the ID team conflicts with the summarized values. Provide the sources of information that lead to the determination. X out the appropriate box for each attribute to denote final agreed upon determination by the ID team.

Attribute	Does Not Meet	May Need More Info.	Meets
Soil/Site Stability Rationale: No additional Comments			✓
Hydrologic Function Rationale: No additional Comments			✓
Biotic Integrity Rationale: No additional Comments			✓
Overall Rangeland Health Assessment:	Does Not Meet	May Need More Info.	Meets
No additional Comments			V